



ISSN: 2091-2749 (Print)  
2091-2757 (Online)

#### Correspondence

Dr. Anagha Pradhan Malla  
Lecturer, Department of  
Obstetrics and Gynaecology,  
Patan Academy of Health  
Sciences, Lalitpur, Nepal  
Email: anaghapmalla@gmail.com

#### Peer Reviewed By

Dr. Ashis Shrestha  
Patan Academy of Health  
Sciences

#### Peer Reviewed By

Prof Dr. Jay N Shah  
Patan Academy of Health  
Sciences

## A Outcome of breech delivery: caesarean section versus vaginal delivery at Patan Academy of Health Sciences, Patan

Anagha Pradhan Malla,<sup>1</sup> Laxmi R C,<sup>2</sup> Alka Singh,<sup>3</sup> Reena Shrestha,<sup>1</sup> Padma Gurung,<sup>1</sup> Sushma Lama,<sup>1</sup> Sarada Duwal Shrestha,<sup>2</sup> Binita Pradhan<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Lecturer, <sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor, <sup>3</sup>Associate Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Patan Academy of Health Sciences, Lalitpur, Nepal

#### ABSTRACT

**Introductions:** Obstetricians have long debated the role of caesarean section as a potentially safer mode of delivery for the fetus with breech presentation. However, the experience of the health care provider remains a critical element in the decision to pursue a vaginal breech delivery, and it may still be a viable option. The aim of this study is to determine the incidence of breech delivery at Patan Hospital and compare maternal and neonatal outcomes subjected to either vaginal or caesarean section.

**Methods:** A five-year retrospective study of breech deliveries covering the year 2010 to 2014. Patient's charts were retrieved from the medical record section and reviewed.

**Results:** There were 896 breech deliveries out of a total 44,842 deliveries giving an incidence of 1.99%. One hundred thirteen (12.61%) of breech deliveries were through vaginal route while 431 (48.10%) and 352 (39.28%) were through emergency and elective caesarean sections respectively. There were 154(17.18% preterm intrauterine death. Among term pregnancy, there were 3-neonate deaths not associated with mode of delivery. There were 154(17.18%) preterm breech deliveries including 27(17.5%) preterm intrauterine death. Among term pregnancy, there were 3 neonatal deaths not associated with mode of delivery. None of the term infant had neurological morbidity comprising neonatal seizures, brachial plexus injury, cephalohematoma. Maternal blood loss was significantly higher in caesarean section group.

**Conclusions:** In well-selected cases, the neonatal outcome following assisted vaginal breech delivery and caesarean section may not be different.

**Keywords:** Breech delivery; Maternal and perinatal outcome

## INTRODUCTIONS

The 'term breech trial' for the term fetus with breech presentation and neonatal outcome concluded that planned caesarean section is better than planned vaginal birth.<sup>1</sup> However, vaginal breech delivery is a viable option in experience hands with rigorous hospital protocol and thorough patient counsel.<sup>2</sup> Proper case selection, vigilant intrapartum monitoring and proper technique can lead to successful vaginal breech delivery.<sup>3</sup>

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the feasibility of vaginal delivery in singleton breech presentation. This study evaluates neonatal and maternal morbidity following vaginal and caesarean delivery for breech presentation.

## METHODS

This retrospective study was conducted at department of Obstetrics and Gynecology,

Patan Hospital, Patan Academy of Health Sciences, Nepal. Medical records of singleton term breech from January 2010 to December 2014 were reviewed. The diagnosis was made at the antenatal clinic or on presentation in labor. The study variables included obstetric history, mode of delivery (vaginal, elective or emergency caesarean), gestational age, mother's hospital stay, fetal birth weight, Apgar scores, stay in neonatal intensive care and neonatal outcome of child.

## RESULTS

There were 896 singleton breech presentations out of 44,842 (1.99%) pregnancies. Mean age of mothers with breech presentation was 28.62 y (SD 5.7 years). The highest frequency of breech presentation was in women aged 21-35 years. The caesarean section for breech was 873 (87.4%), elective 352 (39.28%) and emergency 431 (48.10%). Vaginal deliveries were 113 (12.61%). (Table 1, 2).

**Table 1a. Frequency of caesarean and vaginal delivery in singleton breech presentation (n=896)**

		Caesarean			Vaginal
		n(783)	EM (431)	EL (352)	n(113)
		(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
<b>Parity</b>	Primipara	424 (47.3)	174 (19.4)	250 (27.9)	43 (4.8)
	Multi para	359 (40)	257 (28.7)	102 (11.4)	70 (7.8)
<b>ANC</b>	Booked	412 (46)	172 (19.2)	240 (26.8)	44 (4.9)
	Un-Booked	368 (41.1)	257 (28.7)	111 (12.4)	62 (6.9)
	No ANC	2 (0.2)	2 (0.2)	-	8 (0.9)
<b>Gestation</b>	Weeks <sup>days</sup>	-	30 to 36 <sup>+4</sup>	35 to 36 <sup>+5</sup>	24 <sup>+3</sup> to 36 <sup>+5</sup>
<b>Pregnancy</b>	Preterm	86 (9.6)	80 (8.9)	6 (0.7)	68 (7.6)
	Preterm IUFD	-	-	-	27 (17.5)
	Term	697 (77.8)	351 (39.2)	346 (38.6)	45 (5)

**Table 1b. Indications of caesarean delivery**

	EM CS	EL CS	N (%)
Fetopelvic disproportion	227	247	474(68)
Oligohydramnios	40	9	49(7)
Intrauterine growth restriction-	14	9	23(15.2)
Previous cesarean section	11	42	53(7.6)
Footling breech or incomplete breech	6	-	6(0.8)
Non progress of labor	8	-	8(1.1)
Fetal distress	5	-	5(0.7)
Decreased fetal movement	5	-	5(0.7)
Placenta previa	5	-	5(0.7)
Undiagnosed breech	4	-	4(0.5)
Maternal disease-	3	24	27(3.6)
Bad obstetric history	-	2	2(0.3)
Bicornuate uterus	-	2	2(0.3)
IVF conception	-	3	3(0.4)
Other reasons	23	8	31(4.2)

Note: Em=emergency, EL= elective, CS=caesarean section

**Table 2. Mode of delivery and perinatal outcome in term in singleton breech pregnancy**

	CS	VD
5 minute Apgar < 7	9	2
Transfer to NICU	1	0
Transfer to Nursery	24	3
Small for gestational age	110	4
Fetal birth injury	0	0
Fetal anomaly	1	1
IUFD	0	0

Note: CS- Caesarean section, VD- vaginal delivery

**Table 3. Pattern of maternal morbidity**

Morbidity	Caesarean section	Vaginal delivery
PPH	4	1
Genital tract trauma	0	0
Prolonged hospital stay	3	0
Blood transfusion	5	0
Relaparatomy	1	0
Episiotomy	0	28

Out of 742 term breech deliveries 114 (15.4%) were small for gestational age (SGA) and did not require ICU (Table 2). Three (2.6 %) SGA infants delivered by caesarean section developed sepsis. Out of 896 neonates, 31 (3.5%) infants were born with Apgar score < 7

at 5 minutes, which included 20 (2.2%) preterm and 11 (1.2%) term babies. Among 11 term, 1 (9%) infant was delivered by elective caesarean section, 8 (72%) by emergency caesarean section and 2 (18%) vaginally. Out of 8 infants delivered by emergency caesarean

section, 1 (0.3%) had an Apgar score < 4 after five minutes (serious morbidity). Section was done for fetal distress (Fetal heart sound dropped up to 50 bpm beats per minute. The baby was intubated, resuscitated, transferred to NICU but expired in few hours due to severe asphyxia. One (0.3%) with no antenatal checkup delivered by emergency caesarean section for fetal distress expired within few minutes due to fetal anomaly. Twenty seven (3%) infants were transferred to the nursery. One (0.1%) infant with anomaly delivered by assisted breech delivery expired after four days. Rests of the infant were discharged after few days of observation. None of the term infant had neurological morbidity comprising neonatal seizures, brachial plexus injury, cephalic hematoma. Three (0.3%) perinatal deaths were recorded not as a result of mode of delivery. There was no significant association between mode of delivery and Apgar scores, (Table 3).

Regarding maternal outcome, 5 (0.6%) women in the caesarean section group had blood loss > 1000ml. One (0.1%) patient underwent relaparotomy for hemoperitoneum. No serious maternal complication was noted.

## DISCUSSIONS

The incidence of breech delivery at term of 1.99% found in this study is comparable to 2.1 to 3.1% reported in southwestern Nigeria<sup>4</sup> but higher than 1.4% reported in Calabar, south-south Nigeria.<sup>5</sup> In sub Saharan Africa, the incidence ranges from 2.4% in Zambia to 2.7% in Gabon.<sup>6</sup> One of the major benefits of assisted vaginal breech delivery is its effect on reducing the caesarean section rate and the associated, morbidity and mortality. While some authors recommend that all breech presentations should be delivered by caesarean section<sup>7</sup>, others stress the importance of maintaining obstetricians' knowledge of how to conduct vaginal delivery.

The rate of caesarean section for breech from this study was 87.4%. There was increase in caesarean rate after the results of multicentre

Term breech trial, 2000 which recommends planned caesarean section as the route of choice for better neonatal outcome at term<sup>1</sup>. Serious maternal morbidity showed no difference between the two groups. Subsequent follow-up data on a subset of survivors failed to show long-term differences in death and neuro-developmental delay between the two groups at 2 years of age.<sup>8</sup> However, because of the small number of patients involved, those long term outcomes are not suitable endpoints.<sup>8</sup> In our study, there were 3 perinatal deaths not as a result of mode of delivery. There was no significant association between mode of delivery and Apgar scores.

While Reilberg et al report a policy of routine planned caesarean section, which has been followed by improved neonatal outcomes,<sup>9</sup> Schutte et al assert that planned caesarean section for breech presentation does not guarantee the improved outcome of the child and may increase risks to the mother.<sup>10</sup> The Dutch Maternal Mortality Committee registered and evaluated four maternal deaths following planned caesarean section for breech presentation from 2000 to 2002 – 7% of the total direct maternal mortality in that period. RCOG (2006) guidelines recommend vaginal breech delivery should be undertaken in a unit with theatre facilities and experienced clinicians.<sup>11</sup>

In our study, vaginal delivery of term breech infants was associated with low perinatal morbidity. More infants in the emergency caesarean group had an Apgar score < 7 and were transferred to the nursery for observation. However, only one infant was admitted for more than four days. According to follow-up data, none of the infants (including the infant with serious morbidity) have long-term sequelae due to mode of delivery. In contrary to the findings of the TBT and several retrospective studies, we found no excess risk for neonatal mortality or serious morbidity in the vaginal delivery group versus in the caesarean section group. As the rate of caesarean section was much higher at our

institution, comparisons were difficult to make.

Most authors conclude that cesarean section is associated with increased short term maternal morbidity. However, in our study, we found no significant differences between the groups except for higher blood loss in the surgery group, which was of no clinical significance. The long term effects of cesarean section on the risk of pregnancy and delivery complications are well documented, which may involve a risk for both mother and child.<sup>12-13</sup>

Various data suggest that vaginal breech delivery still remains a viable option in selected patients.<sup>14,15</sup> Selective vaginal breech deliveries may be safely undertaken in units having a tradition of vaginal breech deliveries. The overall neonatal morbidity was small (1.2%).<sup>16,17</sup> Data also indicates that for every infant saved by a cesarean section, one woman will experience a uterine rupture in subsequent pregnancy.<sup>18</sup> These observations are true in developing countries like Nepal where poverty, lack of education, inadequate health resources and no antenatal follow ups are main problem. In our country, majority of the population belongs to rural areas where women are attended mostly by untrained birth attendants during labor. Keeping in view the above facts, cesarean section should not be the treatment of choice in breech cases.

## CONCLUSIONS

Neonatal outcome did not depend on mode of delivery. Pre-delivery assessment, vigilant labor monitoring and by improving skills, vaginal delivery of singleton fetuses in breech presentation remains a safe option that can be offered to a woman in a tertiary care centre.

## REFERENCES

- Hannah ME, Hannah WJ, Hewson SA, Hodnett ED, Saigal S, Willan AR. Planned cesarean section versus planned vaginal birth for breech presentation at term: a randomised multicentre trial. *Term Breech Trial Collaborative Group. Lancet.* 2000;356:1375–83.
- ACOG committee opinion No 340. Mode of term singleton breech delivery. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2006;108(1):235-7.
- Nahid F. Outcome of singleton term breech cases in the pretext of mode of delivery. *J Pak Med Assoc.* 2000;50:81-5.
- Orji EO, Ajenifuja KO. Planned vaginal delivery versus caesarean section for breech presentation in Ile-Ife, Nigeria. *East Afr Med J.* 2003;80:589-91.
- Abasiattai AM, Bassey EA, EtukSj, Udoma EJ, Ekanem AD. Caesarean section in the management of singleton breech delivery in Calabar, Nigeria. *Niger J ClinPract.* 2006;9(1):22-5.
- Meye JF, Mayi S, Zue AS, Engongah-Beka T, Kendjo E, Ole BS. Neonatal prognosis for breech infants delivered vaginally at the Josphine Bongo Maternity Hospital in Libreville, Gabon *Sante.* 2003;13(2):81-4.
- Thorpe-Beeston JG, Banfield PJ, Saunders NJ. Outcome of breech delivery at term. *BMJ.* 1992;305(6856):746-7.
- Whyte H, Hannah ME, Saigal S, Hannah WJ, Hewson S, Amankwah K, et al. Outcomes of children at 2 years after planned cesarean birth versus planned vaginal birth for breech presentation at term: The International Randomized Term Breech Trial. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology.* 2004;191(3):864-71.
- Rietberg CC, Elferink-Stinkens PM, Visser GH. The effect of the Term Breech Trial on medical intervention behaviour and neonatal outcome in The Netherlands: an analysis of 35,453 term breech infants. *BJOG.* 2005;112(2):205-9.
- Schutte JM, Steegers EA, Santema JG, Schuitemaker NW, van Roosmalen J. Maternal deaths after elective cesarean section for breech presentation in the Netherlands. *ActaObstetGynecol Scand.* 2007;86(2):240-3.
- Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists. The Management of Breech Presentation. Green-top Guideline No. 20b. London (UK): RCOG; 2006.
- Stamilio DM, DeFranco E, Pare E, Odibo AO, Peipert JF, Allsworth JE, et al. Short interpregnancy interval: risk of uterine rupture and complications of vaginal birth after cesarean delivery. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2007;110:1075–82.
- Lydon-Rochelle M, Holt VL, Easterling TR, Martin DP: Risk of uterine rupture during labor among

- women with a prior cesarean delivery. *N Engl J Med.* 2001;345:3–8.
14. Doyle NM, Riggs JW, Ramin SM, Sosa MA, Gilstrap LC, 3rd. Outcomes of term vaginal breech delivery. *Am J Perinatol.* 2005;22(6):325-8.
  15. Hellsten C, Lindqvist PG, Olofsson P. Vaginal breech delivery: is it still an option? *Eur J ObstetGynecolReprod Biol.* 2003;111:122-8.
  16. Al-Inizi SA, Khayata G, Ezimokhai M, Al-Safi W. Planned vaginal delivery of term breech remains an option: result of eight years experience at a single centre. *J ObstetGynaecol.* 2005;25:263-6.
  17. Uotila J, Tuimala R, Kirkinen P. Good perinatal outcome in selective vaginal breech delivery at term. *ActaObstetGynecol Scand.* 2005;84:578-83.
  18. Visser GH, Rietberg CC, Oepkes D, Vandenbussche FP. Breech presentation: infant versus mother. *Ned TijdschrGeneesk.* 2005;149:2211-4.